Copy number variation and clinical response to chemotherapy and bevacizumab in the Czech metastatic colorectal cancer patients

Variabilita počtu kopií genů a léčebná odpověď na chemoterapii a bevacizumab u českých pacientů s metastatickým karcinomem kolorekta

Stránská J.^{1,2}, Bartáková K.¹, Rožánková Z.¹, Kotková L.¹, Vrbková J.¹, Trojanec R.¹, Flodr P.³, Jurtíková H.^{1,2}, Líznerová B.^{1,2}, Drábek J.^{1,2}

1 Institute of Molecular and Translational Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Palacky University Olomouc, Czech Republic 2 University Hospital Olomouc, Czech Republic

³ Institute of Clinical and Molecular Pathology, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Palacky University Olomouc, Czech Republic

Summary

Background: Despite bevacizumab being the first biological agent approved for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC), there is not any established DNA biomarker to improve its efficacy and personalize the treatment*. Materials and methods:* Thirty patients with mCRC on bevacizumab therapy (15 with a good response and 15 with a poor response) from the University Hospital Olomouc were followed*.* Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples were used for copy number variation (CNV) analysis using the OncoScan FFPE Assay Kit in order to capture approx*.* 900 tumor genes*. Results:* In the group of good responding patients, 102 genes (classified as ATPases, type AAA, neuronal signal transmission, regulation of transcription, and superior domain PH type), potentially significant positive predictive tumor biomarkers of bevacizumab treatment, were found*.* In the poorly responding group, 74 potentially negative predictive genes (classified as galectines, Jak-STAT signalling pathway, MAPK cascade, differentiation, and F-box associated domain) were identified*. Conclusion:* In the pilot study, we found promising copy number variation biomarkers of bevacizumab response in FFPE samples of mCRC patients*.* The validation phase should be focused especially on the genes associated with angiogenesis (*AGRN, MAPK8, ARHGAP22, LGALS13, LGALS4, ZFP36,* and *MYC*), tumorigenesis (DVL1), and tumor proliferation (*IFNL1, IFNL2, IFNL3, MAP3K10,* and *MAP4K1*)*.*

Key words

bevacizumab – colorectal carcinoma – structural genetic variation

The authors declare that they have no potential conflicts of interest concerning drugs, products, or services used in the study.

Autoři deklarují, že v souvislosti s předmětem studie nemají žádné komerční zájmy.

The Editorial Board declares that the manuscript met the ICMJE recommendation for biomedical papers.

Redakční rada potvrzuje, že rukopis práce splnil ICMJE kritéria pro publikace zasílané do biomedicínských časopisů.

\Box

Prof. Jiří Drábek, PhD

Ústav molekulární a translační medicíny Hněvotínská 1333 779 00 Olomouc Czech Republic e-mail: jiri_drabek@seznam.cz

Submitted/Obdrženo: 8. 1. 2024 Accepted/Přijato: 12. 6. 2024

doi: 10.48095/ccko2024277

Souhrn

Východiska: Přestože je bevacizumab prvním biologickým léčivem schváleným pro léčbu metastatického kolorektálního karcinomu (mCRC), neexistuje žádný zavedený DNA biomarker, který by zlepšil jeho účinnost a personalizoval léčbu*. Materiál a metody:* Sledováno bylo 30 pacientů s mCRC na terapii bevacizumabem (15 s dobrou odpovědí a 15 se špatnou odpovědí) z Fakultní nemocnice Olomouc*.* Pro analýzu variací v počtu kopií genů (copy number variation – CNV) byly použity vzorky FFPE a OncoScan FFPE Assay Kit, který zachycuje přibližně 900 nádorových genů*. Výsledky:* Ve skupině dobře reagujících pacientů bylo jako potenciálně významné pozitivní prediktivní nádorové biomarkery léčby bevacizumabem identifikováno 102 genů (klasifikovaných jako ATPázy, typ AAA, neuronální přenos signálu, regulace transkripce a domény typu PH superior)*.* Ve špatně reagující skupině bylo identifikováno 74 potenciálně negativních prediktivních genů (klasifikovaných jako galektiny, signální dráha Jak-STAT, kaskáda MAPK, diferenciace a doména asociovaná s F-boxem)*. Závěr:* V pilotní studii jsme našli slibné biomarkery variace počtu kopií odpovědi na bevacizumab v FFPE vzorcích nádorů pacientů s mCRC*.* Validační fáze by měla být zaměřena zejména na geny spojené s angiogenezí (*AGRN, MAPK8, ARHGAP22, LGALS13, LGALS4, ZFP36* a *MYC*), tumorigenezí (DVL1) a proliferací tumoru (*IFNL1, IFNL2, IFNL3, MAP3K10 a MAP4K1*)*.*

Klíčová slova

bevacizumab – kolorektální karcinom – variabilita počtu kopií segmentů DNA

Introduction

Bevacizumab (Avastin®, F. Hoffman-La Roche AG, Basel, Switzerland) is a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody that binds extracellularly to vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A), preventing its interaction with VEGF receptors (VEGFR) on the surface of endothelial cells. Bevacizumab inhibits the angiogenic activity of VEGF-A, thus limiting the formation of new blood vessels (antiangiogenic effect) and reducing the density of existing vasculature (antivascular effect) [1,2]. Bevacizumab used to be the mainstay of targeted biological colorectal cancer (CRC) therapy for patients with mutations in *RAS* genes (40–50% cases) [3] in whom cetuximab or panitumumab cannot be used [4]. According to Institute of Biostatistics and Analyses, Brno, Czech Republic, bevacizumab is usually part of the FOLFOX or XELOX combination treatment for CRC in Czech cancer centers. Compared to cetuximab, bevacizumab prolongs overall survival and progression-free survival (PFS) in right-sided RAS wildtype and BRAF wildtype and BRAF mutant tumors [5,6].

Despite bevacizumab being the first biological agent approved for metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC), there is not any established DNA biomarker to improve its efficacy [7]. It does not mean that such a marker was not searched for: somatic mutations in *NRAS*, *BRAF*, and/or *PIK3CA* gene were suggested as a negative prognostic biomarker [8]. Even mutation in *KRAS* that disqualifies patients for cetuximab and panitumumab treatment and originally steered patient to bevacizumab treatment is suggested as a negative prognostic factor of bevacizumab [8,9]. Also, many single nucleotide polymorphisms (germ-line variants) in genes are involved in inflammation, immune system, and RAS signalling [10–13]. However, none of these markers was confirmed in independent studies or used clinically.

We hypothesize that somatic DNA variants, biomarkers of bevacizumab treatment, exist but were for a long time overlooked because of technical limitations – because they pose copy number variants (CNVs). Such type of variation now became accessible for testing using technology of molecular inversion probes.

Material and methods

Clinical records of 142 patients with metastatic colorectal cancer treated at University Hospital Olomouc were used to select $15 + 15$ patients according to their clinical response to bevacizumab treatment. The tumor tissue was examined by an experienced pathologist and the percentage of tumor cells was determined. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) sections were used to isolate genomic DNA using the Cobas DNA Sample Preparation Kit (Roche). DNA quantification was performed by qPCR and was related to the concentration of the operational gene *GAPDH* in the sample compared to the standard [14].

Analysis of DNA segment copy number variation (CNV) was performed using the OncoScan FFPE Assay Kit 1.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), which uses molecular inversion probe (MIP) technology and is designed primarily for analysis in limited amounts of degraded FFPE-derived DNA. An input amount of 80 ng of DNA is sufficient. The probes are designed for more than 220,000 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) located every 50–120 kb in approx. 900 tumor genes.

The OncoScan FFPE Assay protocol was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

After scanning the arrays, raw data were obtained in the form of CELL files, which were analyzed using OncoScan

Console 1.3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Quality control of MAPD and ndSNPQC parameters was also performed. Subsequently, the data were analyzed in R software [15] using the rCGH package [16]. The resulting segmentation data obtained by the segmentCGH function were normalized by the EMnormalize function with default parameter settings, except for the mergeVal parameter set to 0. This normalized segmentation file was divided into two subsets according to the experimental groups. Both these segmentation subsets were further analyzed with the GISTIC 2 tool [17] to identify significant tumor targets in the genome. The threshold log2 ratio value for the section with loss of DNA segments (deletion section) was set to −0.3 (19% decrease) and for the section with increased DNA segment copy number (amplified section) to 0.3 (23% increase). This resulted in the identification of sites in the genome with a demonstrably higher or lower copy number in each experimental group compared to the normal population and the genes located in these sections. The DAVID database version 6.8 [18] and its Functional Annotation Chart tool were used to annotate genes.

Results

The combination of chemotherapy and biological therapy (first-line FOLFOX and bevacizumab), mutated *KRAS* gene, wildtype *BRAF* gene, and PFS were taken into account in the selection process, which divided the 30 patients into a poor responding group (PFS \leq 9 months) and a well responding group (PFS ≥10 months). The experimental groups consisted of 15 men and 15 women aged 42 to 76 years at the time of the initiation of bevacizumab treatment (with a median age of 62 years), from whom 13 metastases (meta) and 17 primary tumors (prim) were collected (Tab. 1, 2). Tissue samples from study patients were obtained with informed consent and the study was approved by the ethical committee of University Hospital Olomouc (NV15-31230A).

In the group of good responding patients, 102 genes, potentially significant positive predictive tumor biomarkers of

bevacizumab treatment, were found. In the poor responding group, 74 potentially negative predictive genes were identified.

In the group of good responders, only the 18p11.32 region, where 14 genes were located, was significantly amplified, while multiple regions were significantly deleted: 1p36.33 (61 genes), 8p11.22 (2 genes), 10q11.23 (21 genes), 14q32.33 (2 genes), 16p13.3 (1 gene), and 20p12.1 (1 gene) (Fig. 1 A, B, on the left). In the poor responding group, regions with increased copy number of segments 8q24.21 (1 gene), 14q12 (1 gene) and 19q13.2 (72 genes) were found (Fig. 1A, on the right), but no deletion exceeded the threshold of 0.25 (Fig. 1B, on the right).

Using the Functional Annotation Chart function, the DAVID gene ontology tool version 6.8 divided the genes identified in the group of good responders into four groups at the 5% significance level. Almost all genes were lying within regions with a loss of DNA segments, except for the *THOC1* gene, and accounted for no more than 5% of the total number of genes in this group (identified in this group of patients). The first group consisted of ATPase enzymes (*ATAD3A*, *ATAD3B*, and *ATAD3C*) occurring in the 1p36.33 region. The next group consisted of genes involved in neuronal signal transduction (*AGRN*, *MAPK8*, *DVL1*, *CHAT*, and *SLC18A3*) from 1p36.33 and 10q11.23. *ERCC6* from 10q11.23 and *THOC1* from 18p11.32 were included in the group involved in transcription regulation. A group of genes from the 1p36.33 and 10q11.23 regions encode proteins with the PH domain involved in signal transduction processes. Some of them have GTPase activity, while others bind to phospholipids (*AGAP4*, *ACAP3*, *ARHGAP22*, *WDFY4*, and *PLEKHN1*).

In the group of patients with poor response to bevacizumab treatment, five groups of amplified genes were generated at a 5% significance level after analysis in the DAVID tool. The genes accounted for a maximum of 11% of the total number of genes in this group and are almost exclusively found in the 19q13.2 region. The *CLC*, *LGALS13*, *LGALS17A*, *LGALS14*, *LGALS16*, *LGALS4*, *LGALS7,* and *LGALS7B* genes belong to the carbohydrate-binding galectins involved in apoptotic and hydrolytic processes.

The *IFNL1*, *IFNL2,* and *IFNL3* genes are involved in the positive regulation of the immune response and contribute to the Jak-STAT signalling pathway. The *MAP3K10*, *MAP4K1*, *ZFP36, PSMC4*, *PSMD8*, *MYC,* and *RASGRP4* genes are part of the MAPK signalling pathway. The *EID2*, *EID2B*, *SIRT2*, *CATSPERG*, *DLL3,* and *GGN* genes are associated with differentiation. The *FBXO17*, *FBXO27,* and *NCCRP1* genes encode F-box proteins involved in protein degradation (Tab. 3).

Discussion

We performed the analysis on a cohort of 30 patients that was divided into two subcohorts depending on their good or poor response to bevacizumab treatment. We discovered several groups of genes that may be related to cancer initiation, development, and spread.

In the good responding patients' group, we found three genes belonging to the AAA-ATPase family (namely *ATAD3A*, *ATAD3B*, and *ATAD3C*) in the DNA segment loss region. Of this group, the *ATAD3A* gene is most frequently found in patients with lung adenocarcinoma. Overproduction of ATAD3A is associated with increased resistance to therapy and poorer prognosis [19,20]. Similar findings also apply to astrocytomas, in which the production of ATAD3B may be involved in chemoresistance. In contrast, oligodendrogliomas do not produce ATAD3 protein at all and are among the treatment-sensitive gliomas [21]. ATAD3B somatic mutation is associated with shorter overall survival and appeared only in metastatic patients [22]. Treatment resistance has also been confirmed for these genes in patients with breast and prostate cancer [23, 24].

Furthermore, a group of genes involved in neuronal signal transmission was created in the annotation tool for this group of patients, including *AGRN*, *MAPK8*, *DVL1*, *CHAT,* and *SLC18A3* genes, which were also located in the region with loss of DNA segments. Agrin (AGRN), a heparan sulphate polysaccharide found both on the cell surface and

Fig. 1. An amplification/gain plot (A) and deletion/loss plot (B) generated by GISTIC 2 that identifies significant tumour targets in the genome by analysing all features with increased copy numbers of DNA segments within selected regions. The G-score value takes into account the intensity of the aberration as well as the frequency of its occurrence across samples. The q-value = 0.25, illustrated by the green line, represents the significance threshold.

intracellularly, is important in angiogenesis [25,26]. This protein has been produced in increased amounts by bile duct and liver cancer cells as well as squamous cell carcinoma cells, where it has been involved in cell migration, cell adhesion and resistance to treatment [27,28].

Mitogen-activated protein kinases (*MAPK8*, formerly *JNK1*) are involved in many functions in the body – cell proliferation, differentiation, survival, stress response, apoptosis, and cell transformation by activated oncogenes in many cell types [29]. The overproduction of MAPK8 protein in healthy organisms promotes invasiveness and angiogenesis and is involved in tumor progression and resistance to cytostatic drugs [30–32]).

 The *DVL1* gene is part of the Wnt signalling pathway, which has a function in embryogenesis and tumorigenesis. Increased DVL1 production accelerates breast tumor growth and in CRC, it is associated with the perineural spread of tumor and liver metastasis [33–35]. Increased choline acetyltransferase (CHAT) production has been observed in patients with squamous cell carcinoma

of the lung [36]. Increased *SLC18A3* gene activity has been reported in CNS metastases of papillary thyroid carcinoma [37].

Another group of genes regulating transcription consisted of the *ERCC6* gene from the region with a loss of DNA segments and the *THOC1* gene from the region with an increased number of DNA segments. The ERCC6 protein, a DNA protection factor, is involved in cell hypertrophy, but when it is knocked out of function, cell proliferation is reduced, and apoptosis is triggered. B cells are also more sensitive to commonly used chemotherapeutic drugs [38]. THOC1, a subunit of the THO complex involved in the formation of mRNA ribonucleoprotein complexes, is produced to a greater extent in lung and ovarian tumors, but less so in the skin and testicular tumors [39]. In general, more THOC1 is formed in tumor cells, and in CRC patients, the expression level can distinguish patients with an aggressive phenotype and associated poor prognosis [40,41].

The last group of genes in patients with a good response to treatment consisted of genes functionally superior to

the PH-type domain, namely *ACAP3*, *PLE-KHN1*, *AGAP4, ARHGAP22,* and *WDFY4*. Of this group of genes, according to the literature, only the product of the *ARHGAP22* gene is related to angiogenesis and has been linked to the development of diabetic retinopathy in patients with diabetes [42].

In patients with a poor response to treatment, the first identified group was a family of abundantly represented galectins, which find their applications in diverse cellular processes such as embryonic development, wound healing, apoptosis, intercellular junction formation, cell migration, immune response and, last but not least, malignant proliferation [43–45]. Representatives of this group were specifically the *CLC* gene, *LGALS13*, *LGALS17A*, *LGALS14*, *LGALS16*, *LGALS4*, *LGALS7,* and *LGALS7B*. The *CLC* gene was more highly expressed in patients with early CRC compared to those with late-onset disease [46]. The amount of protein corresponds to the number of eosinophils at the site of inflammation [47]. A group of genes on chromosome 19 encoding placental galectins (*LGALS13*, *LGALS14*, and *LGALS16*) trigger

T cell apoptosis and are involved in immune tolerance [48]. During pregnancy, the product (PP13) of the *LGALS13* gene is involved in the vasodilatation of maternal blood vessels required to increase blood flow to the fetus [49]. The *LGALS4* gene has been reported to be less expressed in the tissue of CRC patients and was essentially absent in patients with the invasive form of the disease. The product of this gene is involved in cell cycle arrest and slowing cell migration and motility. *LGALS4* expression reduces the production of proteins of the Wnt signalling pathway. The Wnt signalling pathway is important in many biological processes, such as cell differentiation, migration, and polarity. Dysregulation of this signalling pathway is a hallmark of CRC [50]. However, another research group found elevated levels of galectin 4 in the serum of CRC patients examined, and levels were even higher in metastatic disease [51,52]. Elevated levels of circulating galectins 2, 4, and 8 in the blood induce the secretion of cytokines and chemokines from the vascular endothelium, which promotes the formation of endothelial adhesion molecules and endothelial ducts as a part of angiogenesis [53].

The second group consisted of lambda interferons (*IFNL1*, *IFNL2,* and *IFNL3*), functionally classified as a group of genes associated with the Jak-STAT signalling pathway that triggers an immune response and antiviral, antiproliferative, and antitumor responses [54,55].

The third group was represented by the *MAP3K10*, *MAP4K1*, *ZFP36*, *PSMC4*, *PSMD8*, *MYC,* and *RASGRP4* genes and functionally aligned to the MAPK cascade. In ductal adenocarcinoma of the pancreas, *MAP3K10* and *MAP4K1* genes have opposing effects. Loss of *MAP3K10* expression decreases cell proliferation, whereas the absence of *MAP4K1* is associated with the progression and development of invasive disease [56,57]. The opposite situation occurs when MAP4K1 is knocked out of function in colorectal cancer, and when tumor cell invasiveness is suppressed [58]. The *ZFP36* gene has a tumor suppressor function. It suppresses the growth of

colorectal cancer cells by regulating the expression of *VEGF* and *COX-2*. Its production is reduced in cancer cells, but it is produced in high amounts in healthy mucosa [59–61]. The *PSMC4* gene promotes cell death caused by stress factors and increases intracellular protein ubiquitination [62]. The *MYC* (c-Myc) gene influences the regulation of angiogenesis, and an increased copy number of this gene indicates a worse disease prognosis for patients in clinical stage II and III disease [63,64]. Other genes are not well described in the literature.

The fourth group of genes was associated with differentiation and includes the following genes: *EID2*, *EID2B*, *SIRT2*, *CATSPERG*, *DLL3,* and *GGN*. The *SIRT2* gene plays an important role in the cellular response to hypoxia through the regulation of the hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF-1 α) [65]. The remaining genes have not been described at all or only marginally.

The last group consisted of genes from the F-box associated domain. These were the *FBXO17*, *FBXO27,* and *NCCRP1* genes. None of these genes has been described in the literature concerning angiogenesis or cancer.

Increased expression of the *DVL1* gene may be important in breast cancer carcinogenesis due to disruption of the Wnt signalling pathway [33,34]. It may be also involved in the development of cervical cancer, again through disruption of the Wnt signalling pathway [66]. The protein is formed to a greater extent in prostate cancer and this may be related to progression through the Wnt/betacatenin pathway [67].

The hERG1 and aHIF-2 α genes were found to be independent prognostic factors for a positive response to bevacizumab [68]. It appears that hERG1 is upstream of HIF-2 α and the entire proangiogenic signalling pathway, confirming what has been previously shown in CRC cells. Indeed, in the latter model, hERG1 activity positively regulates HIF- -2α expression and subsequently VEGF-A secretion, suggesting that hERG1-positive patients who have more aHIF-2 α and greater VEGF-A secretion would benefit from VEGF-A blockade via bevacizumab treatment, as shown in the reported survival analysis. The importance of hERG1 and its downstream pathway is also suggested by the finding that hERG1-positive patients with KRAS mutations have longer disease PFS than patients with the same mutation but negative for hERG1 [68, 69].

Conclusion

In the pilot study of FFPE samples from mCRC patients (15 good responders and 15 poor responders to bevacizumab), using OncoScan method, we found promising copy number variation biomarkers. This small cohort of 30 patients can serve as a learning set, ready for validation using method amenable for a low number of targets but a higher throughput (such as PCR methods) in a larger cohort of patients. The validation phase should be focused especially on genes associated with angiogenesis (*AGRN*, *MAPK8*, *ARHGAP22*, *LGALS13*, *LGALS4*, *ZFP36,* and *MYC*), tumorigenesis (*DVL1*), and tumor proliferation (*IFNL1*, *IFNL2*, *IFNL3*, *MAP3K10*, and *MAP4K1*).

Contributors and supporting agencies

This study was supported by the following research programs: NV16-32198, LM2023033, LM2023053, EF16_013/0001674, LX22NPO5102, TN02000109, and IGA LF UP 2023_006.

Availability of data and materials

The data used in this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

References

1. Willett CG, Boucher Y, di Tomaso E et al. Direct evidence that the VEGF-specific antibody bevacizumab has antivascular effects in human rectal cancer. Nat Med 2004; 10(2): 145–147. doi: 10.1038/nm988.

2. Selvakumaran M, Yao KS, Feldman MD et al. Antitumor effect of the angiogenesis inhibitor bevacizumab is dependent on susceptibility of tumors to hypoxia-induced apoptosis. Biochem Pharmacol 2008; 75(3): 627–638. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2007.09.029.

3. Luo HY, Xu RH. Predictive and prognostic biomarkers with therapeutic targets in advanced colorectal cancer. World J Gastroenterol 2014; 20(14): 3858–3874. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i14.3858.

4. Biller LH, Schrag D. Diagnosis and treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer: a review. JAMA 2021; 325(7): 669–685. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.0106.

5. Arnold D, Lueza B, Douillard JY et al. Prognostic and predictive value of primary tumour side in patients with RAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer treated with chemotherapy and EGFR directed antibodies in six randomized trials. Ann Oncol 2017; 28(8): 1713–1729. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdx175.

6. Cervantes A, Adam R, Roselló S et al. Metastatic colorectal cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guideline for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2023; 34(1): 10–32. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2022.10.003.

7. Garcia J, Hurwitz HI, Sandler AB et al. Bevacizumab (Avastin®) in cancer treatment: a review of 15 years of clinical experience and future outlook. Cancer Treat Rev 2020; 86: 102017. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2020.102017.

8. Baltruškevičienė E, Mickys U, Žvirblis T et al. Significance of KRAS, NRAS, BRAF and PIK3CA mutations in metastatic colorectal cancer patients receiving Bevacizumab: a single institution experience. Acta Med Litu 2016; 23(1): 24–34. doi: 10.6001/actamedica.v23i1.3267.

9. Petrelli E, Coinu A, Cabiddu M et al. KRAS as prognostic biomarker in metastatic colorectal cancer patients treated with bevacizumab: a pooled analysis of 12 published trials. Med Oncol 2013; 30(3): 650. doi: 10.1007/s12032- 013-0650-4.

10. De Mattia E, Bignucolo A, Toffoli G et al. Genetic markers of the host to predict the efficacy of colorectal cancer targeted therapy. Curr Med Chem 2020; 27(25): 4249–4273. doi: 10.2174/0929867326666190712151417.

11. Gaibar M, Galán M, Romero-Lorca A et al. Genetic variants of ANGPT1, CD39, FGF2 and MMP9 linked to clinical outcome of Bevacizumab plus chemotherapy for metastatic colorectal cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2021; 22(3): 1381. doi: 10.3390/ijms22031381.

12. Qin W, Zhao B, Wang D et al. A genetic variant in CD274 is associated with prognosis in metastatic colorectal cancer patients treated with Bevacizumab-based chemotherapy. Front Oncol 2022; 12: 922342. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.922342.

13. González-Vacarezza N, Alonso I, Arroyo G et al. Predictive biomarkers candidates for patients with metastatic colorectal cancer treated with bevacizumab-containing regimen. Drug Metab Pers Ther 2016; 31(2): 83–90. doi: 10.1515/dmpt-2015-0027.

14. Jaworek H, Koudelakova V, Slavkovsky R et al. The absence of high-risk human papillomavirus in Czech nonsmall cell lung cancer cases. Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub 2020; 164(1): 71–76. doi: 10.5507/bp.2018.079.

15. The R project for statistical computing. [online]. Available from: https://www.R-project.org/.

16. Commo F, Guinney J, Ferté C et al. rCGH: a comprehensive array-based genomic profile platform for precision medicine. Bioinformatics 2016; 32(9): 1402–1404. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv718.

17. Mermel CH, Schumacher SE, Hill B et al. GISTIC2.0 facilitates sensitive and confident localization of the targets of focal somatic copy-number alteration in human cancers. Genome Biol 2011; 12(4): R41. doi: 10.1186/gb-2011- $12 - 4 - r41$

18. Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic Acids Res 2009; 37(1): 1–13. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn923.

19. Lang L, Loveless R, Teng Y. Emerging links between control of mitochondrial protein ATAD3A and cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2020; 21(21): 7917. doi: 10.3390/ijms21217917.

20. Zhang T, Nie Y, Gu J et al. Identification of mitochondrial-related prognostic biomarkers associated with primary bile acid biosynthesis and tumor microenvironment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Front Oncol 2021; 11: 587479. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.587479.

21. Hubstenberger A, Labourdette G, Baudier J et al. ATAD 3A and ATAD 3B are distal 1p-located genes differentially expressed in human glioma cell lines and present *in vitro* anti-oncogenic and chemoresistant properties. Exp Cell Res 2008; 314(15): 2870–2883. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2008.06.017.

22. Zhu Z, Fu H, Wang S et al. Whole-exome sequencing identifies prognostic mutational signatures in gastric cancer. Ann Transl Med 2020; 8(22): 1484. doi: 10.21037/atm-20-6620.

23. Ovaska K, Matarese F, Grote K et al. Integrative analysis of deep sequencing data identifies estrogen receptor early response genes and links ATAD3B to poor survival

in breast cancer. PLoS Comput Biol 2013; 9(6): e1003100. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003100.

24. Huang KH, Chow KC, Chang HW et al. ATPase family AAA domain containing 3A is an anti-apoptotic factor and a secretion regulator of PSA in prostate cancer. Int J Mol Med 2011; 28(1): 9–15. doi: 10.3892/ijmm.2011.670. 25. Stringer SE. The role of heparan sulphate proteoglycans in angiogenesis. Biochem Soc Trans 2006; 34(Pt 3): 451–453. doi: 10.1042/BST0340451.

26. Peixoto A, Relvas-Santos M, Azevedo R et al. Protein glycosylation and tumor microenvironment alterations driving cancer hallmarks. Front Oncol 2019; 9: 380. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00380.

27. Batmunkh E, Tátrai P, Szabó E et al. Comparison of the expression of agrin, a basement membrane heparan sulfate proteoglycan, in cholangiocarcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma. Hum Pathol 2007; 38(10): 1508–1515. doi: 10.1016/j.humpath.2007.02.017.

28. Kawahara R, Granato DC, Carnielli CM et al. Agrin and perlecan mediate tumorigenic processes in oral squamous cell carcinoma. PLoS One 2014; 9(12): e115004. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0115004.

29. Xu R, Hu J. The role of JNK in prostate cancer progression and therapeutic strategies. Biomed Pharmacother 2020; 121: 109679. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2019.109679.

30. Shimada K, Nakamura M, Ishida E et al. C-Jun NH2 terminal kinase activation and decreased expression of mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase-1 play important roles in invasion and angiogenesis of urothelial carcinomas. Am J Pathol 2007; 171(3): 1003–1012. doi: 10.2353/ajpath.2007.070010.

31. Yang YM, Bost F, Charbono W et al. C-Jun NH(2)-terminal kinase mediates proliferation and tumor growth of human prostate carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2003; 9(1): 391–401.

32. Wang J, Kuiatse I, Lee AV et al. Sustained c-Jun-NH2 kinase activity promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition, invasion, and survival of breast cancer cells by regulating extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation. Mol Cancer Res 2010; 8(2): 266–277. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786. MCR-09-0221.

33. Sharma M, Castro-Piedras I, Rodgers AD et al. Genomic profiling of DVL-1 and its nuclear role as a transcriptional regulator in triple negative breast cancer. Genes Cancer 2021; 12: 77–95. doi: 10.18632/genesandcancer.217.

34. Nagahata T, Shimada T, Harada A et al. Amplification, up-regulation and over-expression of DVL-1, the human counterpart of the Drosophila disheveled gene, in primary breast cancers. Cancer Sci 2003; 94(6): 515–518. doi: 10.1111/j.1349-7006.2003.tb01475.x.

35. Huang MY, Yen LC, Liu HC et al. Significant overexpression of DVL1 in Taiwanese colorectal cancer patients with liver metastasis. Int J Mol Sci 2013; 14(10): 20492–20507. doi: 10.3390/ijms141020492.

36. Song P, Sekhon HS, Fu XW et al. Activated cholinergic signaling provides a target in squamous cell lung carcinoma. Cancer Res 2008; 68(12): 4693–4700. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-0183.

37. Schulten HJ, Hussein D, Al-Adwani F et al. Microarray expression profiling identifies genes, including cytokines, and biofunctions, as diapedesis, associated with a brain metastasis from a papillary thyroid carcinoma. Am J Cancer Res 2016; 6(10): 2140–2161.

38. Caputo M, Frontini M, Velez-Cruz R et al. The CSB repair factor is overexpressed in cancer cells, increases apoptotic resistance, and promotes tumor growth. DNA Repair (Amst) 2013; 12(4): 293–299. doi: 10.1016/j.dnarep.2013.01.008.

39. Domínguez-Sánchez MS, Sáez C, Japón MA et al. Differential expression of THOC1 and ALY mRNP biogenesis/export factors in human cancers. BMC Cancer 2011; 11: 77. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-11-77.

40. Li Y, Lin AW, Zhang X et al. Cancer cells and normal cells differ in their requirements for Thoc1. Cancer Res

2007; 67(14): 6657–6664. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-3234.

41. Liu C, Yue B, Yuan C et al. Elevated expression of Thoc1 is associated with aggressive phenotype and poor prognosis in colorectal cancer. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2015; 468(1–2): 53–58. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.10.166.

42. Huang YC, Lin JM, Lin HJ et al. Genome-wide association study of diabetic retinopathy in a Taiwanese population. Ophthalmology 2011; 118(4): 642–648. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2010.07.020.

43. Liu FT, Rabinovich GA. Galectins as modulators of tumour progression. Nat Rev Cancer 2005; 5(1): 29–41. doi: 10.1038/nrc1527.

44. Danguy A, Camby I, Kiss R. Galectins and cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta 2002; 1572(2–3): 285–293. doi: 10.1016/s0304-4165(02)00315-x.

45. Guda MR, Tsung AJ, Asuthkar S et al. Galectin-1 activates carbonic anhydrase IX and modulates glioma metabolism. Cell Death Dis 2022; 13(6): 574. doi: 10.1038/s41419-022-05024-z.

46. Ågesen TH, Berg M, Clancy T et al. CLC and IFNAR1 are differentially expressed and a global immunity score is distinct between early- and late-onset colorectal cancer. Genes Immun 2011; 12(8): 653–662. doi: 10.1038/gene. 2011.43.

47. De Re V, Simula MP, Cannizzaro R et al. Galectin-10, eosinophils, and celiac disease. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2009; 1173: 357–364. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.04627.x.

48. Than NG, Romero R, Xu Y et al. Evolutionary origins of the placental expression of chromosome 19 cluster galectins and their complex dysregulation in preeclampsia. Placenta 2014; 35(11): 855–865. doi: 10.1016/j.placenta.2014.07.015.

49. Gadde R, Cd D, Sheela SR. Placental protein 13: an important biological protein in preeclampsia. J Circ Biomark 2018; 7: 1849454418786159. doi: 10.1177/1849454418786159.

50. Satelli A, Rao PS, Thirumala S et al. Galectin-4 functions as a tumor suppressor of human colorectal cancer. Int J Cancer 2011; 129(4): 799–809. doi: 10.1002/ijc.25750. 51. Barrow H, Rhodes JM, Yu LG. Simultaneous determination of serum galectin-3 and -4 levels detects metastases in colorectal cancer patients. Cell Oncol (Dordr) 2013; 36(1): 9–13. doi: 10.1007/s13402-012-0109-1.

52. Acharjee A, Agarwal P, Nash K et al. Immune infiltration and prognostic and diagnostic use of LGALS4 in colon adenocarcinoma and bladder urothelial carcinoma. Am J Transl Res 2021; 13(10): 11353–11363.

53. Chen C, Duckworth CA, Fu B et al. Circulating galectins -2, -4 and -8 in cancer patients make important contributions to the increased circulation of several cytokines and chemokines that promote angiogenesis and metastasis. Br J Cancer 2014; 110(3): 741–752. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2013.793.

54. Li M, Liu X, Zhou Y et al. Interferon-lambdas: the modulators of antivirus, antitumor, and immune responses. J Leukoc Biol 2009; 86(1): 23–32. doi: 10.1189/jlb.1208761. 55. Swider A, Siegel R, Eskdale J et al. Regulation of interferon lambda-1 (IFNL1/IFN-21/IL-29) expression in human colon epithelial cells. Cytokine 2014; 65(1): 17–23. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2013.09.020.

56. An Y, Cai B, Chen J et al. MAP3K10 promotes the proliferation and decreases the sensitivity of pancreatic cancer cells to gemcitabine by upregulating Gli-1 and Gli-2. Cancer Lett 2013; 329(2): 228–235. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2012.11.005.

57. Wang H, Song X, Logsdon C et al. Proteasome-mediated degradation and functions of hematopoietic progenitor kinase 1 in pancreatic cancer. Cancer Res 2009; 69(3): 1063–1070. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-1751. 58. Yang HS, Matthews CP, Clair T et al. Tumorigenesis suppressor Pdcd4 down-regulates mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase kinase 1 expression to suppress colon carcinoma cell invasion. Mol Cell Biol 2006; 26(4): 1297–1306. doi: 10.1128/MCB.26.4.1297-1306.2006.

59. Lee HH, Son YJ, Lee WH etal. Tristetraprolin regulates expression of VEGF and tumorigenesis in human colon cancer. Int J Cancer 2010; 126(8): 1817–1827. doi: 10.1002/ijc.24847. 60. Cha HJ, Lee HH, Chae SW et al. Tristetraprolin downregulates the expression of both VEGF and COX-2 in human colon cancer. Hepatogastroenterology 2011; 58(107–108): 790–795.

61. Rounbehler RJ, Fallahi M, Yang C et al. Tristetraprolin impairs myc-induced lymphoma and abolishes the malignant state. Cell 2012; 150(3): 563–574. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.06.033.

62. Amoroso MR, Matassa DS, Laudiero G et al. TRAP1 and the proteasome regulatory particle TBP7/Rpt3 interact in the endoplasmic reticulum and control cellular ubiquitination of specific mitochondrial proteins. Cell Death Differ 2012; 19(4): 592–604. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2011.128.

63. Lee KS, Kwak Y, Nam KH et al. c-MYC copy-number gain is an independent prognostic factor in patients with colorectal cancer. PLoS One 2015; 10(10): e0139727. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0139727.

64. Huang YH, Lin PC, Su WC et al. Association between altered oncogenic signaling pathways and overall survival of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Diagnostics (Basel) 2021; 11(12): 2308. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics11122308.

65. Seo KS, Park JH, Heo JY et al. SIRT2 regulates tumour hypoxia response by promoting HIF-1 α hydroxylation. Oncogene 2015; 34(11): 1354–1362. doi: 10.1038/ onc.2014.76.

66. Okino K, Nagai H, Hatta M et al. Up-regulation and overproduction of DVL-1, the human counterpart of the Drosophila dishevelled gene, in cervical squamous cell carcinoma. Oncol Rep 2003; 10(5): 1219–1223. doi: 10.3892/or.10.5.1219.

67. Mizutani K, Miyamoto S, Nagahata T et al. Upregulation and overexpression of DVL1, the human counterpart of the Drosophila dishevelled gene, in prostate cancer. Tumori 2005; 91(6): 546–551. doi: 10.1177/030 089160509100616.

68. Iorio J, Lastraioli E, Tofani L et al. hERG1 and HIF-2a behave as biomarkers of positive response to Bevacizumab in metastatic colorectal cancer patients. Transl Oncol 2020; 13(3): 100740. doi: 10.1016/j.tranon.2020. 01.001.

69. Lastraioli E, Bencini L, Bianchini E et al. hERG1 channels and glut-1 as independent prognostic indicators of worse outcome in stage I and II colorectal cancer: a pilot study. Transl Oncol 2012; 5(2): 105–112. doi: 10.1593/tlo.11250.